



1

LIDAR PERFORMANCE IN COMPLEX TERRAIN MODELLED BY WASP ENGINEERING

Ferhat Bingöl Risø-DTU Denmark Jakob Mann Risø-DTU Denmark

Dimitri Foussekis CRES Greece

EWEC 2009

Parc Chanot, Marseille, France, BT4 Remote sensing Tuesday, 17 March 2009, 16:00 - 17:30





- LiDARs at Risø and CRES (5 minutes)
- WAsP Engineering 2.0 (5 minutes)
- Greek sites (15 minutes)
- Questions (0~5 minutes)

-=THIS PRESENTATION INCLUDES 21 SLIDES=-





LiDARs at Risø and CRES

RISØ

A New Anemometer; QinetiQ ZephIR



DTU



Conical Scanning Mode



$\vec{V_r}$

$$v_r = U_h \sin \varphi \cos(\theta - \theta_w) + w \cos \varphi$$

•1 round = 1 second

- •3 rounds give 1 good data
- •It can change focus distance in 1 sec
- φ =30.4° (Azimut angle)
- **θ=[0:π/2]** (scanning angle)

50 points on each height

Max height = 150m Min height = 10m







WAsP Engineering 2.0



WAsP Engineering (WEng) 2.0

WASP is the Wind Atlas Analysis and Application Program is a PC program for predicting wind climates, wind resources and power productions from wind turbines and wind farms. (http://www.WAsP.dk)

WEng is WAsP Engineering

is a PC program for the estimation of extreme wind speeds, wind shears, wind profiles and turbulence in complex (and simple) terrain. Version 1.0 was launched in July 2001 and present version is 2.0, originally released in July 2005. (http://http://www.WAsPEngineering.dk/)

Official report is

Mann, J.; Ott, S.; Jørgensen, B.H.; Frank, H.P., WAsP engineering 2000. Risø-R-1356(EN) (2002) 90 p. http://www.risoe.dk/rispubl/VEA/ris-r-1356.htm





How to use WEng?



DTU E WEng Simulation



\mathcal{O} :half opening angle of the cone, approximately 30.4° for the ZephIR 50 Π -50 θ :geographical angle in which the beam is pointing. 250 $\vec{n}(\theta) = \{\sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\varphi\}$ The position of the $i_{\rm th}$ measurement point with a geographical angle θ_i on the circle is given by 200 $\vec{p}_i = h / \cos \varphi . \vec{n}(\theta_i) + \vec{p}_{LiDAR}$ Height(ASL) [m] The wind vectors are projected on to laser beam 150 direction by $v_r(\theta) = U_h \vec{n}(\theta) \cdot \{-\sin \theta_w, -\cos \theta_w, \tan \phi_w\}$ 100 50 $v_r = U_h \sin \varphi \cos(\theta - \theta_w) + w \cos \varphi$ 0 -50





Greek Sites







Lavrio

Panahaiko



5 km













Map Resolution=4m Map Size=2400m x 2400m 3110 10min files $WS \geq 4 \ m/s$ 1.20 1.15 I 1.10 Lidar 32m / Cup 32m 1.05 1.000.95 0.90 0.85 0.80E 250 300 50 100 150 200 350 Vane 32m[°] Map Resolution=4m 3110 10min files $WS \geq 4 \ m/s$ Map Size=2400m x 2400m 1.20 1.15 Lidar 78m / Cup 76m [-] 1.10 1.02 / Cup 76m [-] 0.90 0.90 0.85 0.80E 50 100 200 250 300 150 350

Vane 76m [°]

14







Results; Panahaiko



Map Resolution=10m Map Size=5000m x 5000m 1644 data points $WS \geq 4 \ m/s$ 1.20 1.15 Lidar53m / Cup 53m [-] 0.85 0.80E 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 Vane 53m[°] Map Resolution=10m Map Size=5000m x 5000m 1033 data points $WS \geq 4 \ m/s$ 1.20 1.15 Tidar 30m / Cup 0.85 0.80E 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 Vane 30m[°]

16





The limit of WEng in complex terrain



LiDAR position RIX values for both sites. (Left) Lavrio, (Right) Panahaiko. Total RIX values are ~10% and ~25% respectively. Conical Scanning in non-homogeneous flow



RISØ



DTU Conclusion

- We have shown that in complex terrain of the type commonly used for wind turbine parks, errors in the horizontal wind speed as measured by a conically scanning LiDAR can be of the order of 3-7%. We find that the calculations with WAsP Engineering model match the experiment except for some sectors where the terrain is particularly steep.
- Resolution and map sizes are advised.
- Therefore we can say "LiDAR complex terrain performance can be modeled with WAsP Engineering with limitations".
- The operation explained here is converted into a WAsP Engineering Script where users can try. (http://www.wasp.dk)

DTU E References



•Modeling conically scanning LiDAR error in complex terrain with WAsP Engineering F. Bingöl, J. Mann and D. Foussekis Risø DTU Report, Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark November 2008

•David A. Smith, Michael Harris, Adrian S. Coffey, Torben Mikkelsen, Hans E. Jørgensen, Jakob Mann, and Régis Danielian. Wind LiDAR evaluation at the Danish wind test site Høvsøre. Wind Energy, 9(1–2):87–93, 2006.

•Jørgensen, H.; Mikkelsen, T.; Mann, J.; Bryce, D.; Coffy, A.; Harris, M.; and Smith, D. "Site Wind Field Determination Using a CW Doppler LiDAR - Comparison with Cup Anemometers at Risø." Proc. EWEA Special Topic Conference: The Science of Making Torque from Wind; April 19-21, 2004, Delft, The Netherlands. pp.261-266.

•M. Harris, M. Hand, A. Wright "LiDAR for Turbine Control" Technical Report NREL/TP-500-39154 January 2006

•Jakob Mann, Søren Ott, Bo Hoffmann Jørgensen & Helmuth P. Frank, "WAsP Engineering 2000" Technical Report Risø–R–1356(EN) August 2002

•Mortensen, N.G., A.J. Bowen and I. Antoniou (2006). "Improving WAsP predictions in (too) complex terrain" Proceedings of the 2006 European Wind Energy Conference and Exhibition, Athens, Greece, February 27 to March 2.





Ferhat Bingöl

ferhat.bingoel@risoe.dk